



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,526	08/29/2006	Normand Beaudoin	Ogilvy Renault	5470

7590 12/14/2009
Normand Beaudoin
1 a Sieme Avenue
St - Hippolyte, QC J8A 1C2
CANADA

EXAMINER

DAVIS, MARY ALICE

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3748

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/14/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/573,526	Applicant(s) BEAUDOIN, NORMAND	
	Examiner MARY A. DAVIS	Art Unit 3748	

All Participants:

(1) MARY A. DAVIS.

(2) NORMAND BEAUDOIN.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
ALL.

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner contacted Normand Beaudoin to discuss his recent claim submission filed July 15, 2009, in response to the non-compliant amendment mailed June 18, 2009. The claim submission filed on July 15, 2009 now includes the identifier, however, the claim set has not maintained the claim history. The Examiner provided guidelines to follow when amending claims, and recommended that Normand re-submit the claims using the guidelines provided. The Examiner also extended the offer to have the claims reviewed prior to re-submission to verify that they would be acceptable for entry. The Examiner also requested that the applicant submit arguments directed to the rejection.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mary A Davis/
Examiner, Art Unit 3748

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)